Circuit resistance training strategy on maximal strength, rate of force development, and power: A random model meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Background Problems: Circuit resistance training is a type of strength and power training mode according to short-term strength, rate of force development, and power strategy; however, priority maximises performance outcomes and is not used on trained and untrained resistance populations. Research Objectives: Increased performance on developing high-intensity resistance sessions and short time intervals was investigated with systematic review and meta-analysis methodology. This meta-analysis methodologic approach aimed to report popular circuit resistance training on maximal strength, rate of force development, and power able to short-time interval set-up micro-periodization. Methods: By following this way, a high-intensity resistance combination short-time interval strategy was provided between 2008 and 2023. A study using keywords of circuit resistance training, dynamic strength, explosive power, and rate of force development was conducted to explore SportDiscus, PubMed, and the and the Web of Science databases investigated in a random model meta-analysis. Findings and Results: Exploration of circuit resistance training contributed to clarifying maximise strength and power performance under probable report outcomes detected to analysis of metadologic quality risk of bias, effect size, and mean difference. Conclusion: In conclusion, circuit resistance training strategy resulted in maximising strength and power regimes, but sufficient research has not yet been carried out as a proper periodic session adapted to detect rate of force development and power combination in the literature. In addition, circuit resistance training strategic approach may be re-performed to plan strength and power.
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INTRODUCTION

An example of resistance mode is circuit resistance training that is able to maximise performance by performing high-intensity sessions and high-volume periods of professional resistance. The resistance population used circuit model training to enhance maximal strength in multiple set configurations (Kahraman & Varol, 2023). Over the last decade, this traditional resistance approach has generally been used to maximise performance by conducting high-intensity combinaded short-time intervals (Alcaraz et al., 2008, 2011;
Hermassi et al., 2019). In literature examined to high performance out of influence circuit resistance training explained on maximal strength, rate of force development, and power, indeed high strength effort may be observed circuit upper and lower compartment muscle reactivity (Freitas et al., 2016; Ramos-Campo et al., 2021; Kahraman & Varol, 2023).

The current approach to circuit resistance training included rapid force with a short time interval to determine maximal exercise workload and arrange maximal strength provided work to rate of force development to independent movement speed (Turner et al., 2020; Kahraman & Varol, 2023). Under the condition of circuit resistance, strategies are performed on maximal and rapid strength, which is one form of session-based time interval exercises section-based constant time strength evaluation to develop power. For example, non-professional men perform high-load exercises by all region compartment muscle groups, thereby showing power performance (Alcaraz et al., 2008, 2011; Hermassi et al., 2020). Additionally, advanced power is pronounced on the professional and non-professional population in relation to maximal strength-related peak force development (Alcaraz et al., 2008; Freitas et al., 2016). Whereas, the early peak rate of force development via a short time interval remains unchanged on maximal and explosive exercise tasks (Ramos-Campo et al., 2021).

Strength increase explained by early peak rate of force development is constant explosive power; therefore, circuit resistance training periodization has been worked continually concentric peak force (Freitas et al., 2016; Ramos-Campo et al., 2021; Kahraman & Varol, 2023). For this reason, high load circuit resistance performance is one of exercise capacity to evaluate strength and power activation and enable strength and power output during resistance training periodization, whereas moderate load circuit resistance is specific development to upper and lower compartment constant strength effort (Alcaraz et al., 2008; Hermassi et al., 2019; Ramos-Campo et al., 2021). Non-periodic high-volume set-up to maximise performance, whereas traditional circuit resistance produces strength and power; indeed, combination circuit exercise tasks increase peak rate of force development and power to reflect load time change with a short time interval, whereas low-load circuit resistance training of power players provides technical region power performance (Alcaraz et al., 2008, 2011; Freitas et al., 2016).

Thus, this probable report's base target is that circuit resistance training periodization changes promote different model strengths, power, and rate of force development gains, and in correction, circuit resistance training strategies may provide a constant performance level. However, circuit resistance training has been limited to maximal strength, rate of force development, and power; therefore, a probable report aimed to include circuit resistance training, including strength and power strategy, at the centre of research attention (Hu et al., 2024). This knowledge gap underscores the urgent need for research to delve deeper into the intricate strategies within circuit resistance training (Alcaraz et al., 2008; Hermassi et al., 2019; Ramos-Campo et al., 2021). By elucidating the distinct changes in performance elicited by different training protocols, we can refine and optimise circuit resistance training methodologies for consistent performance enhancement (Freitas et al., 2016; Ramos-Campo et al., 2021; Kahraman & Varol, 2023). Moreover, by exploring the interaction between strength and power activation throughout the training process, we can unlock new insights into maximising athletic potential (Kahraman & Varol, 2023).

Hence, this study endeavours to comprehensively investigate the varying modalities of circuit resistance training periodization and their differential impacts on strength, power, and rate of force development gains (Ramos-Campo et al., 2021). Through rigorous empirical analysis, we seek to elucidate the underlying mechanisms driving performance enhancements within circuit resistance training protocols (Ramos-Campo et al., 2021). By bridging this knowledge gap, we aim to propel circuit resistance training to the forefront of strength and power development research, revolutionising athletic performance enhancement strategies for the future (Vadivel & Maniazhagu, 2022). The exploration of circuit resistance training’s efficacy extends beyond mere performance enhancement to encompass broader implications for injury prevention and rehabilitation (Buch et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2024). By understanding how circuit resistance training influences neuromuscular adaptations, we can develop targeted interventions to mitigate injury risks and expedite recovery processes (Moraru et al., 2019). Moreover, given the versatility of circuit resistance training in accommodating various
fitness levels and goals, its widespread adoption can foster inclusivity and accessibility in athletic training programmes (Hermassi et al., 2020).

As the sporting landscape continues to evolve, the demand for evidence-based training methodologies intensifies. Circuit resistance training, with its emphasis on functional movements and dynamic muscle activation patterns, holds immense promise for meeting these evolving demands. By conducting robust research into the intricacies of circuit resistance training, we can equip athletes, coaches, and fitness professionals with the knowledge and tools necessary to unleash their full potential in the pursuit of athletic excellence.

**METHOD**

The research was a probable report detected on circuit resistance training quality and risk of bias by a critical standard systematic literature database review. The study inclusion criteria provided to the methodologic approach used the obtaining of relevant relevant circuit resistance training research and were selected between 2008 and 2023. There are no accepted reviews and meta-analyses of research taken from PubMed, Sportdiscus, or the or the Web of Science database. Currently, all of the research determined on the risk of bias assessment, but there is research on proper random allocation concealment, blinding of outcomes, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, other sources of bias, and overall risk of bias using quality risk of bias to determine 2 low, 3 medium, and 4 high risks of bias. Keywords of studies determined “circuit resistance” OR “circuit resistance training” AND “dynamic strength” AND “maximal strength” AND “rapid force reaction” OR “rate of force development” AND “explosive power OR “anaerobic power.” This random model meta-analysis approach was provided in accordance with the guidelines of the “Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses” (PRISMA) (Fig. 1).

![Identification of studies via databases and registers](image)

**Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Chart of the Search Process**
Statistical analysis

SPSS 22 was used to examine the means, standard deviations, and p values to obtain the mean difference and determine the effect size (Schwaederle et al., 2015). To explore strength and power strategy, the mean difference and effect size were calculated on the descriptors: 0.00 < 0.20 very weak, 0.20 < 0.50 weak, 0.50 < 0.80 moderate, 0.80 < 1.20 strong, 1.20 < 2.00 very strong, and 2 or > 2 concluded as extremely strong effect size (Sawilowsky, 2009).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The initial search revealed 35 studies of potential relevance to this report. After the removal of duplicates, we screened 30 records, of which 9 were considered for eligibility. To date, methodologic resolution detected circuit resistance training produced a common approach to strength and power strategic outcomes. This report’s outcome is based on micro-periodization of circuit resistance training to resolve the risk of bias, mean difference, and descriptor effect size. Totally of the researchers reported acute effects (n = 4) and chronic effects (n = 3), lower compartments (n = 1) and full bodies (n = 6), linear periodization (n = 4), and non-periodized periodization (n = 3). Current report detected to risk of bias has been noted high risk of bias (n = 3) and medium risk of bias (n = 1), and other researches were low risk of bias (Table 1). The dynamic strength of circuit resistance training was reported to have a to have a strong range effect size (Kahraman & Varol, 2023), a moderate and strong range effect size (Hermassi et al., 2020), a very weak range effect size (Hermassi et al., 2019) and a weak range effect size (Ramos-Campo et al., 2021). The rate of force development in circuit resistance training noted a weak range effect size (Ramos-Campo et al., 2017). The explosive power of circuit resistance training was found to be very strong range effect size (Hermassi et al., 2020), strong range effect size (Hermassi et al., 2019), moderate range effect size (Alcaraz et al., 2011) and very weak and weak range effect size (Kahraman & Varol, 2023) (Table 2).
### Table 1. Risk of Bias Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Randomize Range</th>
<th>Allocation Concealment</th>
<th>Blind Participation</th>
<th>Incomplete Outcomes Data</th>
<th>Selective Outcomes Reporting</th>
<th>Other Sources of Bias</th>
<th>Overall Risk of Bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kahraman and Varol, (2023)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramos-Campo et Al., (2021)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermassi et al., (2019)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freitas et al., (2016)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcaraz et al., (2011)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcaraz et al., (2008)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blue: Low risk of bias 2; Pink: Medium risk of bias 3; Red: High risk of bias 4

### Table 2. Circuit Strength and Power Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Kahraman and Varol, (2023) | International wrestling women athletes: 17 y (n=10) | Acute; 6RM – 85%* 
 4 set – 10RM 
 35 s interval | Hip flexion 
 Ankle inversion 
 Squat 
 Hip extension 
 Ankle eversion 
 Deadlift 
 Bench press 
 Leg extension 
 Front latpull down 
 Deadlift 
 Preacher curl 
 Seated calf raise | 1RM | 0.16 | 1.53 |
| Ramos-Campo et Al., (2021) | Healthy men; 24.6 y (n=28) | 8 week; 6RM -100%* 
 3 set – 6RM 
 35 s interval | MVC | PRFD | 0.17 | 0.34 |
| Hermassi et al., (2019)   | Outfield men handball players: 19-20 y (n=22) | 10 week; 6-8RM - 70-80%* 
 3 set – 6-8RM 
 For each 2 set 3 min interval | Bench press 
 Leg half squat | 1RM | 0.14 | 1.03 |
<p>|                          |            |              | CMJ | 0.02 | 1.10 |
|                          |            |              | SJ  | 0.03 | 1.03 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>FS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tsunegawa et al., (2016)</td>
<td>Semi-professional men basketball players: 21.44 y (n=9)</td>
<td>Acute; 6RM – 50%* 3 set – 10 RM 40 s interval</td>
<td>Squat Bench press Ankle extension Lat pull down Knee flexion Elbow extension Knee flexion Bench press</td>
<td>Bench press (W) CMJ</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akaraz et al., (2011)</td>
<td>Healthy men: 22.7 y (n=15)</td>
<td>8 week; 6RM – 80-90%* 3 set, 35 s interval</td>
<td>Ankle extension Lat pull down Squat elbow flexion Bench press</td>
<td>Bench press (W)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcaraz et al., (2006)</td>
<td>Men: 26 y (n=10)</td>
<td>Acute; 6RM – 85%* 5 set, 35 s interval</td>
<td>Bench press Leg extension Ankle extension</td>
<td>Bench press (W)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results of circuit resistance training were determined by the population mean difference and effect size on acute and chronic designs. Acute effect of circuit resistance training showed on maximal strength outcomes of international wrestling women athletes and semi-professional men basketball players on maximal strength constant set non-periodized periodization to show high rapid force (Freitas et al., 2016; Kahraman & Varol, 2023). In this conclusion, high-intensity short-time interval linear set-ups to develop the upper and lower compartment muscle maximal strength were reported to result in large power formation (Alcaraz et al., 2008, 2011). Contrastly, non-periodized circuit resistance training periodization provided high explosive power strategic development (Chtara et al., 2008).

One of the maximal strength combinations is circuit resistance microperiod, which performs explosive power gain to rapid force due to a short time interval on constant high-strength exercise set efforts (Kahraman & Varol, 2023). A report noted that high loading intensity popularities applied to long-term resistance training during short intervals developed high explosive jump height compared to short-term circuit resistance training periodization (Hermassi et al., 2020; Kahraman & Varol, 2023). For this reason, short-set high-intensity circuit training with linear periodization compared to acute-effect similar short-time interval set-ups enhances maximal strength and explosive power (Hermassi et al., 2020). Some researchers reported that high-intensity loading strength training unexplained microperiodization in athletic modalities and competitive seasonal strength and power strategies (Alcaraz et al., 2008, 2011; Freitas et al., 2016). In this case, the current effect may be advanced peak force and explosive power reaching working principles to produce strength on sport-specific tasks; additionally, proper periodization can be formed to form maximal strength repeat (Strelnikowa & Polevoy, 2018; Kahraman & Varol, 2023). Furthermore, circuit resistance training regimens have been considered one of the seasonal training programmes to prefer enhanced performance and neuromuscular potential gain (Naikoo et al., 2017).

Current high-intensity condition complexes and upper and lower compartment combination training sessions are suitable for developing rate of force development indicators (Ramos-Campo et al., 2021). This controversial force time performance may be a reason for neuromuscular fatigue at the rate of force development; however, a force rapid reaction is performed on every high-intensity repetition (Ramos-Campo et al., 2021). Rapid strength loading and unfatigue gradually increase maximal strength and explosive power during long-term moderate circuit periodization compared to high-intensity constant non-periodized model circuit resistance training (Hermassi et al., 2019, 2020). Thus, linear and non-linear periodized acute and chronic training regimens via circuit resistance training produce high-effective performance outcomes (Freitas et al., 2016; Hermassi et al., 2020).

CONCLUSION

Circuit resistance training is not common in the current literature to perform maximal strength, rate of force development, and power outcomes. The research reported up-to-date results from verifiable data. Circuit resistance training performance results are unexplained by linear and non-linear resistance training periodization; therefore, continued research is essential to exaggerate current performance improvements. This research supports the current contribution of resistance training, which promotes high and low load performance enhancement in both professional and non-professional resistance training populations. The research is limited, as included in the provable report on circuit resistance training strategies. Circuit resistance training is limited to maximal strength, rate of force development, and power strategy to enhance strength and power performance levels in both professional and non-professional resistance training populations. This limitation provided that circuit resistance training with low load and high load periodizations had similar gains in performance levels with acute and chronic effect periodizations. In the future, the direction of general performance development and muscular adaptation may be circuit resistance training periodization.
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